SINGLE BENCH

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

Writ Petition No. 6275 of 2005

PETITIONER:

Ghanaram, S/o Hanuman Prasad,
R/o Nigaarband,
Post & Tehsil: Takhatpur,
District: Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS:

1. Sub Divisional Officer
(Revenue), Kota/Takhatpur,
District: Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh.

2. Baldau Prasad Kashyap,
S/o Dashrath Prasad,
Aged about 50 years,
R/o Village: Nigaarband,
Tehsil: Takhatpur,
District: Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

3. J.P. Namdeo, Sub Engineer,
Water Resource, Kota,
(Presiding Officer,
Polling Booth No.34)
Gram Panchayat: Nigaarband,
Tehsil: Takhatpur,
District: Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

4. Election Officer,
Polling Booth No.34,
Gram Panchayat: Nigaarband,
Tehsil: Takhatpur,
District: Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

5. Dwijram, S/o Mureetram,
R/o Nigaarband,
Post & Tehsil: Takhatpur,
District: Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

6. Shivshanker, S/o Tolaram,
R/o Nigaarband,
Post & Tehsil: Takhatpur,
District: Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

P.R. No. 6321/05
Presented on 7.5.05
dated 9/12/05

(4)

7. Suresh Kumar,
S/o Dwarika Prasad,
R/o Nigaarband,
Post & Tehsil: Takhatpur,
District: Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTIONS ETC., IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND/OR ANY OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OF LIKE NATURE

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

(110)

मामला क्रमांक WP-6295/05 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13.09.2006 No one appears for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Yadav, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State/respondent No.1. Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Counsel for respondent No.3. None for respondents No.4, 5 & 6, though served. Report about respondent No.7 is that he refused to take notice. In this manner, his service is also complete. Service report of respondent No.2, who was the Election Petitioner before the Tribunal has not been received though he has filed a Caveat Petition vide PR No.532/2005, through Shri Manish Verma, Shri Ajay Dwivedi and Shri Shubhendu Naskar, Advocates. Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, this Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is dismissed for want of prosecution. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	

(पीछे देखिये)

31.01.07 25/01/07